

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/803,180	Applicant(s) CARGILL ET AL.	
	Examiner STEPHEN KAPUSHOC	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) STEPHEN KAPUSHOC.

(2) Justin Karjala.

Date of Interview: 9 February 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
36, 39-45, 79, 80

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Amended after non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:15 pm

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Amendments to the claims to put the application in condition for allowance were discussed and agreed to.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Stephen Kapushoc/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)